Effect of poverty alleviation programmes

*477. SHRI MATILAL SARKAR : Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state :

(a) whether Government have any assessment as to how the programmes of rural development have cast a positive effect on the percentage of population below the poverty line;

(b) if so, the extent of effect with State-wise break up; and

(c) the schemes Government are thinking to encourage in the rural development affairs associated with technology at the village level ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI ANNASAHEB M.K. PATIL): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The number of people benefited and the extent of wage employment created under the major Employment Schemes of the Ministry of Rural Development during the years 2000-2001, 2001-2002 and 2002-2003 is given in the enclosed Statement I *(See* below). As per the estimates obtained by the Planning Commission, the percentage of persons living below poverty line in the rural areas of the country has declined from 37.27% in 1993-94 to 27.09% in 1999-2000. The State-wise position is given in the enclosed Statement-II *(See* below).

(c) The Ministry of Rural Development implements the Sampoorna Gramin Rozgar Yojana (SGRY), the Swarnjayanti Gram Swarozgar Yojana (SGSY), the Indira Awaas Yojana (IAY), the Rural Drinking Water Supply Programme (RWS), the Total Sanitation Campaign (TSC), the Integrated Wasteland Development Programme (IWDP) and the Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY) in the rural areas of the country.

SHRI MATILAL SARKAR: Sir, it is seen that the Central Go\ ernment has been reducing the quantum of allocations, in respect of the Swarnjayanti Gram Swarozgar Yojana, to the States. And that is why the States cannot afford to take up these programmes, effectively covering the poor people. I would like to know from the Government whether this reduction in the quantum would be stopped, or it would be increased.

SHRI ANNASAHEB M. K. PATIL : Sir, the Swarnjayanti Gram Swarozgar Yojana which has actually been implemented in all the States, has been working very satisfactorily, and if I can say. for the three years from 2001 to 2003,24.23 lakh

Statement

Achievement during the vears 2000-2001, 2001-2002 and 2002-2003

SI. State No. Name	EAS/SGRYI Achievemei Employment			JGSY/SGRY-II Achievement			SGSY Achievement		
	Generated (Lakh Man days)			Employment Generated (Lakh Man days)			Total Swarozgaris Assisted (Nos.)		
	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03*	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03*	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03*
1 2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1. Andhra Pradesh	111.32	159.45	160.67	156.37	143.94	167.42	83084	79211	53199
2. Arunachal Pradesh	20.10	4.84	5.84	6.59	8.57	1.30	1403	1564	194
3. Assam	78.04	200.66	230.27	132.86	206.71	173.84	12282	15805	11595
4. Bihar 211.65	138.13	147.31	184.73	156.17	198.89	125792	146925	73390	
5. Chhattisgarh	83.32	299.00	238.45	68.96	76.09	87.38	25423	26907	14013
6. Goa	0.86	0.09	0.00	2.61	0.57	0.38	45	2016	555
7. Gujarat	80.00	30.42	63.89	46.72	39.32	53.65	29241	20963	14891
8. Haryana	20.19	57.94	53.72	18.84	48.84	53.32	25853	14847	6309
9. Himachal Pradesh	11.51	11.91	9.09	13.89	13.90	7.58	11647	9091	4225

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
10	Jammu & Kashmir	25.75	11.34	11.34	10.68	21.51	7.26	9302	14978	5242
11	Jharkhand	100.31	121.37	41.65	113.45	149.00	22.41	55038	70699	19485
12	Kamataka	103.56	88.04	111.38	129.95	84.36	107.76	29026	42944	18731
13	Kerala	30.49	33.11	18.44	27.93	31.71	13.73	37926	27046	12763
14	Madhya Pradesh	159.37	225.82	207.24	208.44	242.52	178.76	71823	63910	26982
15	Maharashtra	216.82	217.08	118.15	316.43	229.39	122.87	87998	70602	22663
16	Manipur	3.97	0.00	0.00	1.86	3.67	0.00	0	0	0
17	Meghalaya	5.87	6.69	4.31	11.21	17.25	3.19	1671	8950	1125
18	Mizoram	5.97	5.77	3.54	3.95	4.24	3.27	1352	3822	928
19	Nagaland	17.40	4.08	13.33	14.17	4.28	3.86	1376	1138	452
20	Orissa	195.20	174.28	135.19	248.51	236.80	163.50	86171	59233	22394
21	Punjab	15.72	9.75	6.81	12.31	8.75	4.94	11990	6272	3708
22	Rajasthan	76.38	82.56	179.49	96.71	72.13	143.22	44504	36053	14690
23	Sikkim	9.15	2.01	2.73	3.80	3.39	2.35	1930	2145	1046
24	Tamil Nadu	110.38	132.51	211.59	131.19	139.54	187.02	83393	54614	33117
25	Tripura	19.53	43.52	19.%	24.84	30.06	29.20	14640	20625	5110

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
26.	Uttar Pradesh	333.02	185.68	447.91	412.59	261.08	498.72	124064	121400	40201
27	Uttaranchal	11.07	12.38	26.93	10.39	26.74	. 23.16	31555	17715	3922
28	West Bengal	116.27	61.85	131.96	136.65	94.56	187.42	21230	15480	22241
29	A & N Islands	0.39	0.13	0.00	0.49	16.03	0.00	448	470	142
30	D & N Haveli	0.18	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6	26	0
31	Daman & Diu	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	26	0
32	Lakshadweep	0.34	0.15	0.00	0.33	0.21	0.10	20	0	6
33.	Pondicherry	0.76	0.06	1.59	0.16	0.19	1.79	39	437	493
	TOTAL	2174.89	2320.65	2602.78	2547.61	2371.52	2448.29	1030272	955914	433812

* : Up to January, 2003 (Provisional)

JGSY : Jawahar Gram Samridhi Yojana

EAS : Employment Assurance Scheme

SCSY : Swamjayanti Gram Swarozgar Yojana

SGRY : Sampooma Gramin Rozgar Yojana

Statement

Statewise position of persons below poverty line

S.No.	State	Percentage of persons below poverty line	
		1993-94	1999-2000
1	2	3	4
1.	Andhra Pradesh	15.92	11.05
2.	Amnachal Pradesh	45.01	40.04
3.	Assam	45.01	40.04
4.	Bihar	58.21	44.30
5.	Goa	5.34	1.35
6.	Gujarat	22.18	13.17
7.	Haryana	28.02	8.27
8.	Himachal Pradesh	30.34	7.94
9.	J&K	30.34	3.97
10.	Kamataka	29.88	17.38
11.	Kerala	25.76	9.38
12.	Madhya Pradesh	40.64	37.06
13.	Maharashtra	37.93	23.72
14.	Manipur	45.01	40.04

1	2	3	4
15.	Meghaiaya	45.01	40.04
16.	Mizoram	45.01	40.04
17.	Nagaland	45 0!	40.04
18.	Orissa	49.72	48.01
19.	Punjab	11.95	6.35
20.	Rajasthan	26.46	13.74
21.	Sikkim	45.01	40.04
22.	Tamil Nadu	32.48	20.55
23.	Tripura	45.01	40.(U
24.	Uttar Pradesh	42.28	31.22
25.	West Bengal	40.80	31.85
26.	A & N Islands	32.48	20.55
27.	Chandigarh	11.35	5.75
28.	D&NHaveli	51.95	17.57
29.	Daman & Diu	5.34	1.35
30.	Delhi	1.90	0.40
31.	Lakshadweep	25.76	9.38
32.	Pondicherry	32.48	20.55
		37.27	27.09

Swarozgaris have already been appointed. But it is demand-based because it is a self-employment generation scheme. If any sort of proposal is received from a particular State or a particular party, then, I would certainly consider it.

SHRIMATILAL SARKAR: Sir, in my questions, there are three questions, (a), (b) and (c). In respect of (c), there is no answer. The question is "whether the schemes the Government are thinking to encourage in the rural development affairs associated with technology at the village level."

SHRI ANNASAHEB M. K. PATIL: Sir, we have already given the answer in our written statement. In all the schemes, which are implemented through the Rural Development Department, whatever new technology has been evolved, that is definitely implemented.

MR CHAIRMAN: Shrimati Shabana Azmi.

SHRIMATI SHABANA AZMI: Sir, which is the question?

श्री सभापति : बैठ जाइए , मैं आपको परेशान नहीं करूंगा ।

SHRIMATI SHABANA AZMI: Sir, I had asked for a supplementary for the Housing question.

श्री सभापति : कोई बात नहीं । इसमें 477 लिखा हुआ हैं । दूसरे दिन का तो नहीं लिख दिया हैं ?

SHRIMATI SHABANA AZMI: Sir, it was question No. 467.

Teen aged booked under POTA

* 478. SHRI S. S. AHLUWALIA: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state :

(a) Whether Government are aware that several male members including the teen aged of the poor families, particularly of the erstwhile bonded labourers. in Sonebhadra District of Uttar Pradesh have been booked by the local police under the POTA and other criminal charges;

(b) The steps taken, if any, by Government to verify these cases in order